

PAGE TWO



Left: A crowd walks to the US consulate to protest against US President Donald Trump's policy toward Greenland in Nuuk, Greenland, on Jan 17. EVGENIY MALOLETKA / AP **Middle:** People hold protest signs against Trump's policy in Nuuk on Jan 17. MARKO DJURICA / REUTERS **Right:** Greenland's Foreign Minister Vivian Motzfeldt (center right) hugs a woman after arriving at the airport in Nuuk on Jan 20 as she returned after meeting with US Vice-President JD Vance. EVGENIY MALOLETKA / AP

From page 1

Foreign Ministry spokesman Guo Jiakun said at a news briefing the "so-called China threat" is baseless, adding "China always believes that the purposes and principles of the UN Charter should be upheld when handling relations between countries".

After his meeting with NATO Secretary-General Mark Rutte in Davos, Switzerland, on Wednesday, Trump posted on Truth Social that he had forged the "framework of a future deal with respect to Greenland and, in fact, the entire Arctic Region. This solution, if consummated, will be a great one for the United States of America, and all NATO Nations".

Yet, the mere idea that the US, a key ally, would even consider a takeover of the territory of a sovereign state — possibly by force until Trump ruled it out in his speech before the World Economic Forum in Davos — stunned European nations.

It did not just ruffle European leaders' feathers; it rattled NATO — the transatlantic defense alliance that dates back nearly 80 years — as many of the European Union member states still rely heavily on the US for security.

"If gained through coercion, the impact would (have been) devastating for the United States and the rest of the world," Michael A. Allen, a political science professor at the School of Public Service Boise State University in Idaho, told China Daily.

"It is hard to imagine a scenario in which a US-led NATO would continue to exist, and this powerful, successful security framework could evaporate overnight. Other allies would question the US as a leader going forward; being an ally no longer protects one's territorial integrity from the US."

Denmark's Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen stood firm, even after Trump's apparent backdown at Davos. "We can negotiate on everything political; security, investments, economy. But we cannot negotiate on our sovereignty," she said.

European leaders held an emergency summit in Brussels on Thursday, to discuss Greenland, the alliance and EU-US ties.

"Trans-Atlantic relations have definitely taken a big blow over the last week," the EU's foreign policy chief, Kaja Kallas, said as she arrived at the meeting.

French President Emmanuel Macron said: "Things are quietening down and we should welcome that. We remain extremely vigilant and ready to use the instruments at our disposal should we find ourselves the target of threats again."

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization was formed on April 4, 1949 to provide collective security for members. It aimed to prevent Soviet expansion after World War II. Article 5 of the treaty states if one member state is attacked, all are.

European nations did, however, breathe a sigh of relief after Trump called off his threat to impose a 10 percent US tariff on Feb 1 on the eight European nations that opposed his plan to take over Greenland.

The levies would have hit the United Kingdom, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, France, Germany, the Netherlands and Finland. The threat of the tariff rising to 25 percent from June 1, was also canceled. UK political onlookers said that one other notable EU-US dynamic shifted over Greenland.

Several world leaders, including Macron, British Prime Minister Keir Starmer and Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney, were uncharacteristically forthright in criticizing the US' push to acquire Greenland.

Not for sale

While, for many, the US president's renewed interest in Greenland was puzzling. In 2019, during his first presidential term, Trump

Greenland: Tensions rise and fall over geopolitical target



People take part in a demonstration that gathered almost a third of the city population to protest against the US' plans to take Greenland, on Jan 17 in Nuuk. MADS SCHMIDT RASMUSSEN / AFP

made an offer to buy the island, but was told by Denmark it was "not for sale".

Several other factors make Greenland, a semi-autonomous region of Denmark, highly coveted. The foremost one is while covered in ice, it has a wealth of natural resources.

In 1850, the mineral cryolite, which has a very high melting point, was found in southwest Greenland and mined by settlers. During World War II, the Allied forces were supplied with cryolite mined from Greenland to produce the aluminum needed to make warplanes.

After World War II, geological mapping in Greenland began in earnest. Rare earth minerals — highly sought worldwide for use in smartphones, fossil fuels and defense industries — were found there.

The 2023 Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland also found other critical minerals including graphite, niobium and titanium exist on the island.

China, the world's biggest producer of rare earth minerals, has recently tightened its export controls, driving the US to start looking for alternative sources.

The US had control of the rare earths market from the mid-1960s after deposits were found in the California desert at Mountain Pass in 1949, according to geology monitors.

But by the 1960s, China had recognized the minerals' value and later improved the technology involved in their mining and processing.

While details of the "framework" of a future Greenland deal remain sparse, Trump told reporters in Davos on Wednesday that it gave the US "everything it wanted".

"It's a deal that everybody's very happy with. It's the ultimate long-term deal, and I think it puts everybody in a really good position, especially as it pertains to security and minerals and anything else," he said. US Secretary of State Marco Rubio and Special Envoy Steve Witkoff will "report" to him on the progress of negotiations, he added.

Greenland might also be home to large oil reserves. A newly released report has positioned Greenland's



Danish soldiers disembark from an airplane at Nuuk airport on Jan 19. The Danish defense forces will continue the increased presence with exercise activities together with NATO allies in and around Greenland. MADS CLAUS RASMUSSEN / AFP



Danish soldiers arrive at the port in Nuuk on Jan 18. MADS CLAUS RASMUSSEN / AFP

Jameson Land basin as one of the most significant undeveloped onshore oil plays in the world, Energy, Oil and Gas Magazine reported.

An independent prospective resource evaluation by energy advisory and technical consulting firm Sproule ERCE estimated the basin contains about 13.03 billion barrels of recoverable oil.

Security issues

Trump said on Jan 11 that the US could offer better security, as Den-

mark's military defense of Greenland only consists of "two dog sleds".

However, Allen, the political scientist, said if the US had "just taken control" it would have shaken existing norms.

"An attack on Greenland would (have been) a continued push toward a world order defined by regional hegemony and the power they have over their respective spheres of influence, rather than a rules-based order that the US has

pushed since the end of World War II," he said.

"Such a world may have empowered the US in the Western Hemisphere, but the US would have become increasingly isolated from Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and Asia."

Steven L. Lamy, a professor emeritus of international relations and spatial sciences at the University of Southern California's Schaeffer Institute for Public Policy & Government Service, pointed out that Greenland and Denmark are members of NATO and "thus are protected by NATO member countries".

"The Danish Arctic command has planes, helicopters, patrol boats and a small contingent of troops," he told China Daily. "The dogsleds are for patrols in the northwest where there are no roads. The Danish government recently spent billions to upgrade their forces."

The reason that Trump cited growing Chinese and Russian military forces was that "the national security argument always works in the US, and Greenland was an important security concern during the Cold War", Lamy said.

"This is all part of Trump's 2025 National Security Strategy; keep foreign powers out of the Western Hemisphere; keep China out," he added.

Since 1951, the US has had a defense agreement with Denmark that allows it to expand its military presence in Greenland.

In World War II, when Nazi Germany occupied Denmark, US troops launched military and radio stations in Greenland, the BBC reported. The military remained after the war and the US currently operates Pituffik Space Base there.

The overall US military presence in Greenland is small, a few hundred, compared with upward of 6,000 forces that were once there, Allen said.

"The US could have used overwhelming force to seize Greenland despite Denmark's presence there, but the fallout from such a military attack would be disastrous for the US," he said.

"Its current security alliances

would falter, come into question, or fall apart. Europe would likely retaliate with a series of measures that would exclude the US from trade with the European Union."

Allen said that while the outright acquisition of Greenland would have given the US sovereignty over the territory and the ability to accomplish some of its goals, it is not clear that sovereignty "is in any way necessary".

He said the forcible annexation of Greenland would have made it "much more difficult for the US to achieve its foreign policy goals".

Allen added that it's not just minerals that make Greenland so alluring for the Trump administration. It's in a "strategic location", as climate change "has created several new opportunities in the Arctic".

Increased navigation in the Northwest Passage and the Northern Sea Route would offer shorter shipping routes and passage from the Atlantic to the Pacific, which would help lower trade costs, Allen said.

Greenland could also be important for the US "Golden Dome" missile defense shield.

Despite the possible upgrades, the island still lacks infrastructure outside the cities where there are no large transportation hubs such as railroads or roads. Most travel is done by air.

Inuit speak out

Amid the tug-of-war over Greenland, its population, the vast majority of whom are Indigenous Inuits called Kalaallit, have been watching closely.

In 1953, when the island became part of the Kingdom of Denmark, Greenlanders became Danish citizens, but many indigenous people have continued their demand for independence.

Widespread protests against a US takeover took place on Jan 17 in Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg and Odense in Denmark, with Greenlanders also joining in.

The demonstrations were organized by Uagut, the National Organization for Greenlanders; the citizen initiative Hands Off Kalaallit Nunaat; and Inuit — the Joint Association of Greenlandic Local Associations in Denmark along with ActionAid Denmark.

"The threats from the American president to annex Greenland felt like psychological warfare," Julie Rademacher, chair of Uagut in Denmark told China Daily.

She said it was terrorizing for many Greenlanders, not only those living in Denmark, but "it has been even harder for our compatriots in Greenland to withstand the pressure".

"Greenland is not for sale," she added.

"It is not a territory to be purchased, annexed, or bargained away. It is home to 57,000 people with their own language, culture, parliament, and democratically elected government."

At least 85 percent of Greenlanders do not want their island to become a part of the US, a poll in Danish newspaper Berlingske and Greenland's Sermitsiaq newspaper found.

"Greenlanders in both Greenland and Denmark are extremely worried," Rademacher said. "Many are developing anxiety and are very afraid, and there are also a great many who cannot sleep and wake up from nightmares."

Most Greenland residents live in the capital, Nuuk. But some families, especially those with children, have been feeling so unsettled that they've considered moving from Greenland to a safer life in Denmark, Rademacher said.

"It is a very difficult time to be a Greenlandic. We take this fight for our children and for future generations. Because we want democracy, we want freedom, and we stand behind Greenland," she said.